

FILEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 08 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS
LITIGATION,

TASH HEPTING; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

AT&T CORPORATION; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.SEAN BASINSKI, on behalf of himself
and all others similarly situated; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;
et al.,

No. 09-16676

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-00672-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

No. 09-16677

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06434-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

RICHARD D. SUCHANEK, III, on behalf
of himself and all others similarly situated;
et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION,

Defendant - Appellee,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

CHARLES F. BISSITT; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;
et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 09-16679

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06295-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

No. 09-16682

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05066-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

CHRISTOPHER BREADY; et al.,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.
VERIZON MARYLAND, INC.; et al.,
Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16683
D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06313-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

TOM CAMPBELL; et al.,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF
CALIFORNIA, a corporation; et al.,
Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16684
D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-03596-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

DENNIS P. RIORDAN; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a
corporation; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16685

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-03574-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

GLEN CHULSKY; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, DBA Verizon
Wireless; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16686

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06570-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

CHARMAINE CROCKETT; et al.,

No. 09-16687

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.
VERIZON WIRELESS, (VAW) LLC; et al.,
Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06254-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

TRAVIS CROSS; et al.,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; et al.,
Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16688
D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06222-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

JOAN DUBOIS; et al.,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,

No. 09-16690
D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06387-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW

v.

AT&T CORP.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

Northern District of California,
San Francisco

TRAVIS CROSS; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF
CALIFORNIA, INC.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16691

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06224-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

PAT MAHONEY, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated; et
al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

No. 09-16692

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05065-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

PAMELA A. MAHONEY, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

MARK P. SOLOMON, MD, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

No. 09-16693

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05064-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

No. 09-16694

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06388-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;
et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

RHEA FULLER, on behalf of herself and
all others similarly situated; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;
et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

SHELLY D. SOUDER; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

No. 09-16696

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05267-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

No. 09-16697

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05067-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,

AT&T INC.; et al.,
Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

San Francisco

STEVE DOLBERG, on behalf of himself
and all others similarly situated; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

AT&T, INC.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16698

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05269-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

THERESA FORTNASH; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

AT&T CORP.,

Defendant - Appellee,

No. 09-16700

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06385-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

D. CLIVE HARDY; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

AT&T CORPORATION,

Defendant - Appellee,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

JAMES C. HARRINGTON; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

AT&T, INC.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 09-16701

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06924-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

No. 09-16702

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05452-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

TINA HERRON; et al.,

No. 09-16704

<p>Plaintiffs - Appellants, v. VERIZON GLOBAL NETWORKS, INC.; et al., Defendants - Appellees, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant-intervenor - Appellee.</p>	<p>D.C. No. 3:06-cv-05343-VRW Northern District of California, San Francisco</p>
<p>DARRYL HINES; et al., Plaintiffs - Appellants, v. VERIZON NORTHWEST, INC., an active Washington corporation; et al., Defendants - Appellees, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant-intervenor - Appellee.</p>	<p>No. 09-16706 D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05341-VRW M:06-cv-01791-VRW Northern District of California, San Francisco</p>
<p>HOWARD JACOBS, a natural person; et al., Plaintiffs - Appellants,</p>	<p>ORDER No. 09-16707 D.C. Nos. 3:07-cv-02538-VRW M:06-cv-01791-VRW</p>

v.

AT&T CORP., a foreign corporation; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

Northern District of California,
San Francisco

CLAUDIA MINK; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE
SOUTHWEST, INC., a Delaware
corporation; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

No. 09-16708

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-07934-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW

Northern District of California,
San Francisco

RICHARD ROCHE; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

No. 09-16709

D.C. Nos. 3:07-cv-01243-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW

Northern District of California,

AT&T CORP.,

San Francisco

Defendant - Appellee,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

BENSON B. ROE; et al.,

No. 09-16710

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-03467-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

v.
AT&T CORP., a New York corporation; et
al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

ELAINE SPIELFOGEL-LANDIS, on
behalf of herself and all others similarly
situated; et al.,

No. 09-16712

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-04221-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

v.

MCI, LLC, a Delaware limited liability

company; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

STUDS TERKEL, (now deceased; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

AT&T CORP.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

HERBERT WAXMAN, on behalf of
himself and all others similarly situated; et
al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

AT&T CORP.,

Defendant - Appellee,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-intervenor -

No. 09-16713

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05340-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

No. 09-16717

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-06294-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

Appellee.

STEVEN LEBOW, Rabbi; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

BELL SOUTH CORPORATION; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 09-16719

D.C. Nos. 3:07-cv-00464-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

RAY ANDERSON; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;
et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -

Appellee.

No. 09-16720

D.C. Nos. 3:07-cv-02029-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

JAMES JOLL; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

No. 09-16723

D.C. Nos. 3:06-cv-05485-VRW
M:06-cv-01791-VRW

v.

AT&T CORP.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-intervenor -
Appellee.

Northern District of California,
San Francisco

Before: Peter L. Shaw, Appellate Commissioner.

Appellants Hines, et al.'s motion to reinstate No. 09-16706 is granted. The court docket shall be amended to reflect that the docketing fee has been paid by appellants Hines, et al. in No. 09-16706. Case No. 09-16706 is reinstated as a consolidated case with lead No. 09-16676.

The appellants' motion to reconsider the portion of the November 19, 2009 order that establishes the due date of the consolidated reply brief as 14 days after the due date of the consolidated answering brief or the last-served answering brief is granted.

The due date for the optional reply briefs or consolidated reply brief is March 25, 2010.

Consolidated Case Nos: 09-16676, 09-16677, 09-16679, 09-16682, 09-16683, 09-16684, 09-16685, 09-16686, 09-16687, 09-16688, 09-16690, 09-16691, 09-16692, 09-16693, 09-16694, 09-16696, 09-16697, 09-16698, 09-16700, 09-16701, 09-16702, 09-16704, 09-16706, 09-16707, 09-16708, 09-16709, 09-16710, 09-16712, 09-16713, 09-13717, 09-16719, 09-16720, & 09-16723

All the parties are reminded that the provisions of Ninth Circuit Rule 31-2.2(a), regarding telephonic extensions requests, shall not apply to these consolidated cases.